# Summary of the Multilevel Interventions in Health Care Conference

Heather M. Edwards, Stephen H. Taplin, Veronica Chollette, Steven B. Clauser, Irene Prabhu Das, Arnold D. Kaluzny

Correspondence to: Heather M. Edwards, PhD, MPH, Clinical Monitoring Research Program SAIC-Frederick, Inc, National Cancer Institute at Frederick, 5705 Industry Lane, Frederick, MD 21704 (e-mail: edwardshm@mail.nih.gov).

Patient outcomes are a primary measure by which we assess healthcare delivery quality. Those outcomes are influenced by numerous other factors in the multilevel context of care described in this monograph (1). Factors include policies that enable or impede health-care access, social support from friends and family, processes of health-care teams, organizational procedures in settings where care is delivered, and the environmental context where these behaviors occur. A few years ago, an internal team of researchers at the National Cancer Institute (NCI) within the Division of Cancer Control and Population Sciences recognized the importance of studying the context in which health care is received to intervene on multiple levels of influence. However, the science of multilevel interventions was in an early stage of development. Thus, the NCI researchers (henceforth "the NCI planning team") reached out to the extramural community to identify expertise that would help advance this science. The collaborations led to a set of draft articles presented at a conference titled Multilevel Interventions in Health Care: Building a Foundation for Future Research (Las Vegas, NV, March 4-5, 2011). Comments from that conference led to the final articles presented in this issue.

The purpose of this article is to describe the relationship between the research articles and the conference to provide richer context for this monograph. We include a summary of the conference events to reflect the complementary development of the articles, to promote more open discussion of the issues among researchers, and to acknowledge the contribution of the meeting participants. In addition, this article summarizes the history behind the conference, its structure, the themes that developed there, and efforts that began after the conference to encourage discussion about multilevel interventions.

### **Conference Background**

As Taplin et al. describe, the multilevel interventions initiative developed from previous publications that recognized the limitations of single-level interventions (1,2). The call for multilevel interventions had also been made by an Institute of Medicine committee in 2000, which identified a need for interventions to use "multiple approaches" and target "multiple levels of influence" at the same time (3). The expectation for the NCI's multilevel effort was to expand the foundations of multilevel intervention work.

As a first step, a multidisciplinary group of experts convened in 2009 to explore the multiple layers of influence, discuss methodological challenges, and identify research priorities to advance innovative research on multilevel cancer care interventions. These experts generated a set of issues and recommendations critical to multilevel intervention research that guided the development of the articles for the supplement and conference. Leading researchers with expertise that matched the multidisciplinary nature of the critical issues were invited to contribute to the monograph and to present their articles on these issues at the conference. Arnold Kaluzny, Professor Emeritus of Health Policy and Management at the University of North Carolina, led a small conference consulting committee consisting of NCI staff and extramural scientists to ensure that the planned presentations represented the priority concepts in multilevel intervention research without critical gaps in coverage of the important issues.

The multilevel interventions initiative was originally focused on cancer care delivery. However, a scarcity of examples of multilevel interventions in cancer care delivery in the literature, combined with the recognition that the field of cancer research can learn from multilevel experiences in other health and non-health disciplines, prompted the expanded consideration of multilevel interventions in health care. The NCI conference planning team was interested in engaging researchers representing both the diversity and depth of knowledge related to multilevel interventions that could contribute to the development and ultimately the success of this research emphasis.

Experts in many fields contributed to the multilevel interventions initiative at key stages of development following the 2009 meeting. The consultant committee, diverse writing teams for the monograph, and the NCI's planning team represented expertise in organizational behavior, health services research, outcomes research, sociology, medicine, and public health. The 168 conference attendees provided additional perspectives from their affiliations with academic research institutions, government health systems, and private health-care organizations.

## **Conference Goals and Structure**

The articles presented at this conference addressed a range of relevant topics and formed the basis for a discussion on multi-level interventions. The conference had three objectives: 1) to identify research needed to strengthen the foundation for multilevel intervention research in health care, 2) to more specifically understand the current state of the science of multilevel interventions across the cancer continuum, and 3) to clarify

issues in the conceptualization of multilevel effects across scientific disciplines. The details of the meeting are available in Supplementary Appendix 1 (available online), and we summarize the critical components here.

An overarching goal was to create a collaborative and participatory forum to enhance understanding of intervention research and stimulate interest in it. Three key characteristics of this conference were its emphasis on the need for multidisciplinary perspectives in research, the relationship between conference discussions and the monograph, and the post-event efforts to engage audiences beyond the conference.

The conference centered on 13 presentations of scientific articles that addressed issues to consider in multilevel research. Presentations were divided into three sections mirroring the sections in the monograph. The first three articles presented an overview of multilevel interventions across the cancer care continuum; the second section focused on the challenges and opportunities for multilevel intervention research; and the final section described applications and future directions in the area of multilevel interventions.

Reflecting a desire to incorporate feedback from participants into the monograph and to direct the overall trajectory of multilevel intervention research, the conference included facilitated small group sessions and moderated question and answer sessions. Participants' comments and written feedback helped authors refine their monograph chapters and the NCI planning team to reassess the scope of multilevel intervention research and research needs in this area.

Both days of conference proceedings featured keynote addresses. On the first day, W. Richard Scott, Professor Emeritus of Sociology at Stanford University, discussed the concept of the "organizational field" as a unifying context, providing a framework within which multilevel interventions could be studied. Otis Brawley, Chief Medical Officer at the American Cancer Society, filtered the second day's topics through the lens of real-world obstacles to health-care quality and the structural and policy constraints within the current delivery system.

## **Results/Broad Themes**

After the conference, the NCI planning team used the information captured in these multiple forms of feedback to provide guidance to article authors and to broaden the NCI team's perspectives on the importance of issues in multilevel intervention research. The Clauser et al. (4) article summarizes conference feedback for the supplement. One example is that conference attendees recommended that researchers describe the details of the intervention setting including the levels affected (eg, individuals, teams, organizations) and population addressed even if the intervention was not directed at multiple levels. Conference attendees also noted that the details are critical to understanding how interventions work and whether the interventions would be transferable to another setting. Another example was that even if a single level was intervened upon, measurement and analysis of multilevel effects could also be undertaken to expand our knowledge of multilevel effects upon care. Feedback addressed the conceptual and theoretical basis of multilevel research as well as some practical issues so that

conference attendees and the authors had a rich stew of additional ideas to consider.

Three of the themes from the conference discussions move past the conceptualization and need for multilevel intervention research to the mechanics and barriers involved in such research. Some of these points are likely to linger as obstacles, but other questions may be answered and concerns assuaged as multilevel intervention research evolves.

First, conference attendees highlighted the challenges of conducting multilevel intervention research. Costs and complexity were two key concerns. Participants raised questions about how to create the right composition of multidisciplinary team members, identify the appropriate targets for intervention, select methods and analytic approaches, and receive funding for a multilevel intervention research agenda. Second, participants discussed the practical challenges of reporting multilevel intervention research. They pointed out that publication constraints may prompt multilevel intervention investigators to either report their results in several articles or to limit their description of the intervention context. Third, conference participants reiterated the importance of health disparities in multilevel intervention research. Discussion of health disparities included an emphasis on culture, race, and ethnicity as influences on health care but also recognized special situations such as disparities in rural cancer care. Participants pointed out that multilevel intervention effects on disparities are not well known. Disparities could increase or decrease as a result of these interventions. Some participants suggested that richer descriptions of the research context would be a way to partially address the external validity of interventions, even if the interventions do not occur at multiple levels. As a result of the conference discussion, an article on the state of the art for multilevel interventions addressing disparities was added to the monograph (5).

#### **Conclusions**

The articles in this monograph have benefited from the work of many people beyond the authors listed. This conference encouraged active discussion of the issues that improved the understanding of the issues, resulting in the refinement of articles included in this supplement. As noted earlier, this conference is building on a foundation of previous research. The hope is that this foundation has been strengthened by these articles and by the many participants in the conference. Those who approved the listing of their names have been included (see Appendix). The conference organizers thank all who participated.

Please visit http://cancercontrol.cancer.gov/mli for more information about the conference. Session videos are available on the conference Web site and also on YouTube at http://www.youtube.com/playlist?p=PL0126837269E084C5.

#### **Appendix**

### June 2009 Meeting Participants

Jeff Alexander, PhD, University of Michigan Rebecca Anhang Price, MS, National Cancer Institute John Z. Ayanian, MD, MPP, Harvard Medical School

Erica Breslau, PhD, National Cancer Institute

Veronica Chollette, RN, National Cancer Institute

Steven Clauser, PhD, National Cancer Institute

Allen J. Dietrich, MD, Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center

Mary Fennell, PhD, Brown University

Ann B. Flood, PhD, Dartmouth Medical School

Arnold D. Kaluzny, PhD, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Joe Morrissey, PhD, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

David Murray, PhD, Ohio State University

Electra Paskett, PhD, Ohio State University

Irene Prabhu Das, PhD, MPH, National Cancer Institute

Mario Schootman, PhD, Washington University

Stephen M. Shortell, PhD, University of California at Berkeley

Kurt Stange, MD, PhD, Case Western Reserve University School of

Stephen Taplin, MD, MPH, National Cancer Institute

Sally Vernon, PhD, University of Texas Health Science Center

Bryan Weiner, PhD, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Elizabeth Yano, PhD, MSPH, Department of Veterans Affairs & University of California, Los Angeles

Jane Zapka, ScD, Medical University of South Carolina

### **Conference Consulting Committee**

Arnold Kaluzny, PhD, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Martin Charns, DBA, Boston University & Department of Veterans Affairs

Mary Fennell, PhD, Brown University

Maria Fernandez, PhD, University of Texas Health Science Center

Ernest Hawk, MD, MPH, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer

Tom Vogt, MD, MPH, Kaiser Permanente

## Conference Participants Who Consented to be Acknowledged

Jeannette Andrews, Medical University of South Carolina

Rachel Ballard-Barbash, National Cancer Institute

Heather Brandt, University of South Carolina

Carolyn Brown, University of Texas at Austin

Diana Buist, Group Health Research Institute

Margaret Byrne, University of Miami

Timothy Carney, Indiana University

David Chambers, National Institute of Mental Health

Martin Charns, Boston University & Department of Veterans Affairs

Jessica Chubak, Group Health Research Institute

Vivian Colon, University of Puerto Rico

Mary Costanza, University of Massachusetts Medical School

Paul Courtney, SAIC-Frederick, Inc

Melissa Cox, Medical University of South Carolina

William Elwood, National Institutes of Health

Erin Hahn, *University of California*, *Los Angeles* 

Linda Fleisher, Fox Chase Cancer Center

Marvella Ford, Medical University of South Carolina

Laura Forsythe, National Cancer Institute

Bridget Gaglio, Kaiser Permanente

Theresa W. Gillespie, Emory University

Tamar Ginossar, University of New Mexico

Charles W. Given, Michigan State University

Molly Greaney, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute

Ellen Gritz, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center

Jada Hamilton, National Cancer Institute

J. Patrick Hart, Rural2Rural Consulting

Angela Hochhalter, Scott & White Healthcare

Debra Holden, RTI International

Shawna Hudson, The Cancer Institute of New Jersey

Jillian Inouye, University of Hawaii at Manoa

George Jackson, Durham Veterans Affairs Medical Center

Aimee James, Washington University

Lenora Johnson, National Cancer Institute

Gloria Juarez, City of Hope

Aruna Kamineni, Group Health Research Institute

Mira Katz, Ohio State University

Annette Kaufman, National Cancer Institute

Sarah Kobrin, National Cancer Institute

DeAnn Lazovich, University of Minnesota

Patricia Lebensohn, University of Arizona

Renata Leite, Medical University of South Carolina

David Lounsbury, Albert Einstein College of Medicine

Roger Luckmann, University of Massachusetts Medical School

Leticia Marquez-Magana, San Francisco State University

Iman Martin, University of Illinois

Michelle Martin, University of Alabama at Birmingham

Amy McQueen, Washington University

Helen Meissner, National Institutes of Health

Patricia Dolan Mullen, University of Texas

Laurie Murphy, Case Western Reserve University

Ronald Myers, Thomas Jefferson University

Susan Newman, Medical University of South Carolina

Nora Nock, Case Western Reserve University

Ana Patricia Ortiz, University of Puerto Rico Comprehensive Cancer Center

Rena Pasick, UCSF Helen Diller Family Comprehensive Cancer Center

Electra Paskett, Ohio State University

Jesse Plascak, Ohio State University

Sandi Pruitt, Washington University

Borsika Rabin, Kaiser Permanente

Le'Roy Reese, Morehouse School of Medicine

Lorraine Reitzel, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center

Brian Rivers, Moffitt Cancer Center & Research Institute

Anju Sahay, Department of Veterans Affairs

Marilyn Schapira, Medical College of Wisconsin

Katherine Sharpe, American Cancer Society

Alla Sikorskii, Michigan State University

Maria Rosa Solorio, University of Washington

Astri Syse, Cancer Registry of Norway

Parisa Tehranifar, Columbia University

Beti Thompson, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center

Martha S. Tingen, Medical College of Georgia

Guillermo Tortolero, University of Puerto Rico Comprehensive Cancer Center

Shin-Ping Tu, University of Washington

Snehlata Surendra Udeshi

Carmina Valle, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Sally Vernon, University of Texas Health Science Center

Thomas Vogt, Kaiser Permanente

Karen Wernli, Group Health Research Institute

David Wetter, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center

Dawn Wiatrek, American Cancer Society

Richard Wood, Texas A&M University

Junya Zhu, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute

#### References

- Taplin SH, Anhang Price R, Edwards HM, et al. Introduction: understanding and influencing multilevel factors across the cancer care continuum. 7 Natl Cancer Inst Monogr. 2012;44:2–10.
- 2. Meissner HI, Vernon SW, Rimer BK, et al. The future of research that promotes cancer screening. *Cancer*. 2004;101(5 suppl):1251–1259.
- Institute of Medicine; Smedley BD, Syme SL, eds. Promoting Health: Intervention Strategies from Social and Behavioral Research. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2000.
- Clauser SB, Taplin SH, Foster MK, Fagan P, Kaluzny AD. Multilevel intervention research: lessons learned and pathways forward. J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr. 2012;44:127–133.

 Sheinfeld Gorin S, Badr H, Krebs P, Prabhu Das I. Multilevel interventions and racial/ethnic health disparities. J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr. 2012;44:100–111.

**Funding** 

This project has been funded in whole or in part with federal funds from the National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, under Contract No. HHSN261200800001E. The content of this publication does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Department of Health and Human Services,

nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the US Government.

**Affiliations of authors:** Clinical Monitoring Research Program, SAIC-Frederick, Inc, National Cancer Institute at Frederick, Frederick, MD (HME); Behavioral Research Program (SHT, VC) and Applied Research Program (SBC, IPD), Division of Cancer Control and Population Sciences, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD; Gillings School of Global Public Health, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC (ADK).